

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/030,557	Applicant(s) RAMOND, LOUIS	
	Examiner Tim Heitbrink	Art Unit 1722	
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> All Participants: (1) <u>Tim Heitbrink.</u> (2) <u>Steven Laut.</u> </div> <div> Status of Application: <u>pending</u> (3) ____ (4) ____ </div> </div>		

Date of Interview: 12 November 2003 **Time:** ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1,4,5,7,8,11,12

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Laut agreed to the changes to the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)